Approved For Release 2004/10/12: CIA-RDP81M00980R002100050086-0

OLC 78-5172

12 September 1978

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

FROM:

Legislative Counsel

SUBJECT:

Brief Notes on Congressional Guests

at 12 September 1978 Dinner

I understand you will be seeing several Senators and Congressmen at your dinner this evening. Attached are bios of these individuals and a few personal notes.

> A. Senator Russell Long (D., La.) --Senator Long is unable to escape the legend surrounding his extraordinary parliamentary skills and his power as Chairman of the Senate Finance Committee. This is partially derived from the fact that all legislation with tax implications, health spending and most claims upon the Treasury must pass through the gates of Senator Long's Committee. It is heightened by the fact that the balance between conservatives and liberals on the Committee is such that little can be done to move legislation through Senate Finance without Senator Long's help. There is no question but that Long's seniority and parliamentary savvy make him one of the few remaining giants in the Senate, but contrary to rumor he is not 15 feet tall. I have attached a particularly appropriate journalistic piece by John Pierson of the Wall Street Journal for you to read if you have time.

The question has always been what does the Senator really believe in and what is he willing to work hard for in addition to Louisiana's parochial

STAT STAT interest. The Senator is opposing the natural gas compromise and is allegedly working hard against it so that topic should be on his mind. Likewise he intends to broaden the tax credit and capital gains tax exemptions to the President's tax bill. On national security matters he remains a strong defense supporter, which includes us.

B. Senator Charles Mathias (R., Md.) -Senator Mathias is as you know on the SSCI
although his heavy schedule has permitted but
infrequent attendance this year. He can be
expected to come back strong when charters
are being marked up.

C. Representative John Brademas --Chief Majority Whip of the House and Democrat of Indiana, is a Harvard graduate and a Rhodes Scholar. His principal committee interests have always been in the education and labor field but his Greek heritage involved him from August 1974 until the present in the Greece, Turkey, Cyprus mess. John is extremely capable but has a reputation in the House for single-mindedness on issues that interfere with his ability to strike compromises. Many feel that his acceptance of cash from Tong Sun Park, although legal at the time received, and his general reputation as a bit too brainy for the Leadership may in the end interfere with his ambition to become Speaker of the House.

Frederick P. Hitz

STAT

Attachments:
As stated

Approved For Release 2004/10/12 : CIA-RDP81M00980R002100050086-0



Sen. Russell B. Long of Baton Rouge Democrat—Dec. 31, 1918 5. Nov. 3, 1918—Lawyer 224-4623

La. State U., B.A., 1941, LL.B., 1942; Navy, WWII; Lt. USNR; Practicing atty., 1945-47; Delta Kappa Epsilon, Omicron Delta Kappa, Tau, Kappa Alpha, Phi Delta Phi, Order of Coif. Lions, Elks, American Legion; Lt. U.S. Naval Reserve. Veterans of World War II; U.S. Senate, Asst. Majority Leader, 1965-68; Chairman, Senate Finance Committee Jan. 14, 1966. Methodist, married two children.

Senator Russell B. LONG (D., Louisiana)

Committees

Commerce, Science and Transportation

Merchant Marine and Tourism

Science, Technology and Space
Surface Transportation, Chairman

Finance, Chairman

Administration of the Internal Revenue Code
Public Assistance
Tourism and Sugar

Joint Committee on Taxation, Chairman

Comments

He has been understanding and cooperative in our dealings with him. He has indicated his strong support for CIA.

Son of the late "Kingfish" of Louisiana politics, Huey P. Long. Holder of one of the safest seats in Congress.

Approved For Release 2004/10/12 : CIA-RDP81M00980R002100050086-0



Sen. Charles McC. Mathias, Jr., of Frederick Republican—Jan. 3, 1969 b. July 24, 1922—Lawyer

Haverford College, B.A., 1944; Yale, 1943-44; U. of Md., LL.B., 1949; USNR, WWII; Asst. Atty. Gen. 1953-54; City Atty., Fred-

224-4654

children.

1953-54; City Atty., Frederick, 1954-59; Md. House of Delegates, 1958-60; U.S. House of Reps., 1960-68. Episcopalian, married, two

Senator Charles McC. MATHIAS (R., Maryland)

Comments

Select Committee on Intelligence

Budget Authorization

Charters and Guidelines

Appropriations

District of Columbia Foreign Operations

Housing, Urban Development and Independent Agencies

Labor and Health, Education and Welfare

Transportation

Governmental Affairs

Energy, Nuclear Proliferation and Federal Services Governmental Efficiency and the District of Columbia

Investigations

Judiciary

Antitrust and Monopoly Juvenile Delinquency Penitentiaries

Comments

(over)

Approved For Release 2004/10/12: CIA-RDP81M00980R002100050086-0



John Brademas of South Bend (3d Dist.)

Democrat— Jan. 3, 1959 b. Mar. 2, 1927—Educator

225-3915

Harvard, B.A., 1949; Oxford U., D. Phil., 1954; Navy, WWII; Asst. Prof.: political science, St. Mary's Col., Notre Dame, Ind., 1945-46; Exec. Asst. to Adlai E. Stevenson, 1955-56. Methodist, unmarried. Representative John BRADEMAS (D., Indiana)

Majority Whip

Committees

Education and Labor
Labor-Management Relations
Postsecondary Education
Select Education, Chairman
House Administration
Accounts
Libraries and Memorials
Joint Committee on the Library

Comments

Routine contact.
June 1975 on "Meet the Press": Said that Congress had failed in CIA oversight and Presidents should "ride herd" on CIA more strongly.

(over)

Appropries Control Chills Rap the tong Sense for the Aims to Get Tax Measure Carter Can Sign

By JOHN PIERSON

Stuff Reporter of THE WALL STREET JOURNAL

WASHINGTON-One of Russell Long's strengths as a legislative operator is that he doesn't want much for himself.

Take the tax bill of 1978, for example.

A week of hearings by the Senate Finance Committee and sometimes Delphic utterances by Chairman Long suggest that the Louisiana Democrat would be happy if all he got from the next six weeks of work on the tax bill was (1) Something President Carter could sign and (2) Additional tax breaks for companies that set up Employe Stock Ownership Plans, or ESOPs.

Getting the second should be easy, if Sen. Long can only figure out a way to get the first. His ESOP bill would cost the Treasury \$1.8 billion next year, hardly a sum the rest of Congress and Mr. Carter could begrudge someone who saved them from a tax-bill

veto in an election year.

Mr. Carter says he will veto the bill, unless the Senate and House-Senate conferees "correct some of the basic errors" in the House-passed version. That means, the President says, producing a bill that would make the tax code fairer, simpler and more friendly to capital formation than the House

Precisely how Sen. Long will try to trans: late those splendid principles into legislative language remains unclear. But the Finance Committee hearings have produced some hints.
Miller to Testify

The hearings are due to end Sept. 6 with testimony from Federal Reserve Board Chairman G. William Miller. The committee plans to start drafting its version of the tax bill Sept. 7.

In Mr. Carter's eyes, the basic error the House committed was to give too much of its \$16.3 billion tax cut to rich folk and too little to everyone else. What the President regards as a maldistribution of benefits occurs because of the House's hefty cut in capital-gains taxes and because the House voted against trying to make the tax code more 'progressive' and decided, for the first time in years, to make everyone's share of the tax cut roughly equal to his current share of the tax burden.

Whatever Mr. Carter's views, the winds on Capitol Hill are blowing strongly toward a big cut in capital-gains taxes and against more tax progressivity. Thus, even if he wanted to, Sen. Long probably couldn't switch benefits from the upper end of the income scale to the lower end.

: The best he can do for his President is to pile on more benefits at the lower end. And that's going to cost more money. 🚵 🦠

Take capital gains, which are gains from the sale of corporate stock, real estate and other capital assets. The House voted to cut the top tax rate on capital gains to 35% from 49% by removing them from the list of preferences under both the 15% minimum tax and the 50% maximum tax on earned in-

It also voted to "index" gains for inflation, starting in 1980, by permitting owners of capital assets to increase their cost basis in line with consumer prices. The House bill also would allow homeowners to avoid tax. once in a lifetime, on up to \$100,000 of profit from a home sale.

In place of the old 15% minimum tax on gains, the House agreed to establish a new 10% alternative minimum tax, which would have to be paid only to the extent it exceeded regular tax liability. The House bill also would repeal the option of having the first \$50,000 of capital gains taxed at no more than 25%.

These changes would produce a net tax cut of \$1.9 billion next year and \$6.8 billion a year by 1983, after indexing started to have an effect.

The homeowners' exclusion was added after President Carter denounced the capital-gains provisions for providing "huge tax windfalls for millionaires and two bits for the average American." Some 96% of the benefits from changing the minimum, maximum and alternative taxes would go to those earning more than \$50,000 a year.

More than 71% of the benefits of indexing would go to taxpayers making more than \$50,000. The homeowners' tax break is the only part of the capital-gains package that could be described as providing most of its benefits directly to "average" Americans; 70% of it would go to those in the \$20,000-to-\$50,000 income class.

Chairman Long finds himself caught between Mr. Carter, who wants the capital-gains relief scaled down and the alternative minimum tax toughened, and 60 Senators sponsoring the "Steiger" plan, named for Rep. William Steiger (R., Wis.), which would cut the top rate on capital gains even further than the House bill, to 25%.

To placate his Senate coileagues, Sen. Long appears to be leaning toward a capitalgains package that would include the House provision eliminating gains as a preference item under both the minimum and maximum taxes, plus something President Kennedy proposed in 1963—an increase in the capital-gains exclusion to 70% from 50%.

To soothe the President; Sen. Long s also to be thinking about a much tough ternative minimum tax than the I passed, deleting indexing, and maybe reducing the House-passed homeowner

Removing gains as a preference itel raising the exclusion to 70% would c top rate on capital gains to 21%, eve ther than the Steiger plan. Unlik Steiger plan, however, raising the exc would also benefit asset holders in a brackets. It would, for example, help pensate homeowners for a less ger homeowners exclusion.

A tougher alternative minimur would reduce the number of high-i persons who use other deductions to: large capital gains from tax, and coulsome revenue to help pay for Sen. capital gains package.

Eliminating inflation indexing woul the Treasury lots of money in the run and might be the single most imp step toward avoiding a presidential ve

A capital-gains package like this cost the Treasury about \$3 billion nex half again as much as the House pass visions. But neither estimate assum revenue "feedback" from increased s capital assets or increased capital ment.

After toying with the concept for Sen. Long has lately come to develop most irresistible-taste for feedback wouldn't surprise anyone to find the F Committee approving a big cut in c gains rates with a small price tag.

Another way Chairman Long like: duce the size of tax-cut bills is to de fective dates, a device that helps him running afoul of the letter, if not the of congressional budget procedures. further revenue offset in the area of gains, he has even hinted that he mi taxing capital gains unrealized at the of the asset holder, a long-sought goal eral tax "reformers."

A big cut in rates would take some sting out of taxing gains at death.

As for the other "error" - President says the House committed too much rich, too little for everyone else-Sei seems determined to correct this ma piling on more benefits at the lower the income scale. This could be do: variety of ways, by increasing the ear come credit for the working poor, for ple. A same and a second control

Approved For Release 2004/10/12 : CIA-RDP81M00980R002100050086-0

Approved For Release 1004710/12 Finance Compainted 3 80R002100050086-0

for the elderly, and all of the benefit, about \$300 billion, would go to those earning less than \$20,000 a year. Provisions like this will certainly almost be added to the big tax bill to fatten the tax breaks for low and middle-income people.

Sen. Long would like to provide a large enough cut in income taxes to offset the increase that's due to occur next year, as Social Security taxes rise and as inflation pushes individuals into higher income-tax brackets. Social Security and inflation will add an estimated \$11 billion to \$12 billion to the individual tax burden between 1978 and 1979. The House bill would offset only \$10.4 billion of that.

"I hope we can change the bill to make it a tax cut for everybody," Sen. Long said at the hearings. Instead of the House bill's \$16.3 billion cut, he said, he's thinking more in terms of a reduction of \$20 billion.

Chairman Long isn't the only member of the Finance Committee, or of the Senate, with ideas about how to distribute that money. Along the way to trying to produce a bill President Carter can sign, Sen. Long will have to deal with strong demands for, among other things: a cut in the top corporate rate deeper than the House-passed reduction to 46% from 48%; an exemption from the top corporate rate higher than the House's \$100,000, which is twice the existing figure; retention of the general jobs tax credit, instead of the targeted credit the House approved; a still more generous investment-tax credit than the House voted, and a separate charitable deduction for taxpayers who use the standard deduction.